



Sh. AkashDeep, (9517709514)

S/o Sh. Gautam Singh,
R/o Village Darsopur, PO Rattangarh,
Tehsil & Distt Pathakot-143534

.....Appellant/Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o Deputy Commissioner,
Pathankot.

.....Respondent

First Appellate Authority

O/o Deputy Commissioner,
Pathankot.

Appeal Case No.4126 of 2021
(Cisco Webex Proceedings)

ORDER (Second Hearing):

1. This order may be read with the reference with the previous order of the Commission dated 14.03.2022.
2. **Written Submission from respondent:** An email dated 20.06.2022 is received by the undersigned bench vide point wise reply was given pertaining to the information sought by the applicant.
3. Both the parties are present and heard.
4. The commission is in receipt of a correspondence from respondent SDE water supply and sanitation wherein it is mentioned that water connection was provided to Sh. Akash Deep.
5. Keeping in view the facts of the case and after hearing both the parties the it is this Commission's view that the RTI application in this instance had been adequately addressed. There is no further cause for action and this appeal case is herewith CLOSED.

Sd/-

Chandigarh
Dated: 20.06.2022

(Maninder Singh Patti)
State Information Commissioner, Pb.



Sh. Iqbal Singh Rasulpur, (8872200515)Appellant/Complainant

C/o Advocate Satinderpal Singh Dhaliwal,
Office Guru Nanak Nagar, Street No 4, Kacha Malk Road,
Jagraon, Distt Ludhiana-142026.

Versus

Public Information OfficerRespondent

O/o Addl. Chief Secy, Home Affairs & Justice, Punjab,
Chandigarh.

First Appellate Authority

O/o Addl. Chief Secy, Home Affairs & Justice, Punjab,
Chandigarh.

Appeal Case No.3905 of 2021

Present: Appellant : Absent

Respondent: Sh. Rohit (Sr. Assistant) 9779079079345

ORDER (Second Hearing):

1. This order may be read with the reference of previous order of the Commission dated 15.03.2022.

2. Information Sought:

“ਨੌਬੀ ਖਬਰ 'ਚ ਦਰਜ ਅਨੁਸਾਰ ਸੁਰਜੀਤ ਸਿੰਘ ਬਨਾਮ ਪੰਜਾਬ ਸਰਕਾਰ ਕੇਸ ਵਿੱਚ, ਅਪਰਾਧਿਕ ਮਾਮਲਿਆਂ 'ਚ ਦੇਸ਼ੀ ਪਾਏ ਪੁਲਿਸ ਮੁਲਾਜ਼ਮਾਂ ਸਬੰਧੀ ਆਪ ਜੀ ਵਲੋਂ ਹਾਈਕੋਰਟ 'ਚ ਪੇਸ਼ ਕੀਤੇ ਹਲਫੀਆਂ ਬਿਆਨ/ਐਡੀਸ਼ਨਲ ਹਲਫਨਾਮਾ/ਦਸਤਾਵੇਜ਼ਾਂ ਦੀਆਂ ਨਕਲਾਂ ਜਨਹਿੱਤ ਵਿੱਚ ਦਿੱਤੀਆਂ”

ਜਾਣ ਜੀ।

3. **Written submission from respondent :** With the compliance of previous order of the Commission respondent has made a written submission vide letter reference no. 10284 dated 17.05.2022 in the form of affidavit the relevant portion of which is reproduced below:-

“It is submitted that the Appellant has sought the copies of Affidavits, Documents submitted by the Deponent Department in the Hon ble High Court in CWP No 12011 of 2020 titled as Surjit Singh Vs State of Punjab and ors. It is further submitted that the same can be obtained from the Hon ble High Court under the Punjab and Haryana High Court Rules, as the matter is subjudice in the Hon ble High court.”

4. In the light of submissions made above the present appeal is dismissed.

Sd/-

Chandigarh
Dated: 20.06.2022

(Maninder Singh Patti)
State Information Commissioner, Pb.



Sh. Yogesh Mahajan, (9814354649)

S/o Late Sh. Kuldip Raj Mahajan,

President of Anti Corruption Council, Opp. Water Tank,

Municipal Market, Mission Road, Pathankot.

.....Appellant/Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o XEN, Center Works Division, PWD B&R,

.....Respondent

Abohar.

First Appellate Authority

O/o Superintending Engineer, Centre Works,

PWD B&R Circle, Ferozepur.

Appeal Case No.3967 of 2021

Present: Appellant : Absent

Respondent: Sh. Anand Bahar (SDO) 9876216611

ORDER (Second Hearing):

1. This order may be read with the reference of previous order of the Commission dated 15.03.2022.
2. **Written Submission from appellant:** An email dated 19.06.2022 is received by the bench of undersigned vide which Sh. Ygesh Mahajan has acknowledged the receiving of sought information.
3. As the requisite information has been supplied to the appellant therefore no cause of action is pending and the instant appeal case is disposed of and closed.

Sd/-

Chandigarh

Dated: 20.06.2022

(Maninder Singh Patti)
State Information Commissioner, Pb.



Sh. Yogesh Mahajan, (9814354649)

S/o Late Sh. Kuldip Raj Mahajan,
President of Anti Corruption Council, Opp. Water Tank,
Municipal Market, Mission Road, Pathankot.

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o XEN, Provincial Division, PWD B&R,
Ludhiana.

....Appellant/Complainant

.....Respondent

First Appellate Authority

O/o Superintending Engineer, PWD B&R,
Ludhiana.

Appeal Case No.3968 of 2021
(Cisco Webex Proceedings)

Present: Appellant : Absent
Respondent: Sh. Amit Saini (SDE)

ORDER (Second Hearing):

1. This order may be read with the reference of previous order of the Commission dated 15.03.2022.
2. **Written Submission from appellant:** An email dated 19.06.2022 is received by the bench of undersigned vide which Sh. Ygesh Mahajan has acknowledged the receiving of sought information.
3. As the requisite information has been supplied to the appellant therefore no cause of action is pending and the instant appeal case is disposed of and closed.

Sd/-

Chandigarh
Dated: 20.06.2022

(Maninder Singh Patti)
State Information Commissioner, Pb.

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864112, Email: - psic23@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com, Helpline No.0172-2864100



Sh. Yogesh Mahajan, (9814354649)

S/o Late Sh. Kuldip Raj Mahajan,
President of Anti Corruption Council, Opp. Water Tank,Appellant/Complainant
Municipal Market, Mission Road, Pathankot.

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o XEN, Provincial Division, PWD B&R,Respondent
Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority

O/o Superintending Engineer, PWD B&R,
Ludhiana.

Appeal Case No.3969 of 2021
(Cisco Webex Proceedings)

Present: Appellant : Absent
Respondent: Sh. Amit Saini (SDE)

ORDER (Second Hearing):

1. This order may be read with the reference of previous order of the Commission dated 15.03.2022.
2. **Written Submission from appellant:** An email dated 19.06.2022 is received by the bench of undersigned vide which Sh. Yogesh Mahajan has acknowledged the receiving of sought information.
3. As the requisite information has been supplied to the appellant therefore no cause of action is pending and the instant appeal case is disposed of and closed.

Sd/-

Chandigarh
Dated: 20.06.2022
Commissioner, Pb.

(Maninder Singh Patti)
State Information



Sh. Nitin Kumar Garg, (7814322100)

C/o Police Public Dairy 15 A,
Shastari Nagar , Model Town, Ludhiana.

.....Appellant/Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o Superintending Engineer, Construction Circle, PWD B&R,
SCO-39-40, Sector 17-C, Chandigarh.

.....Respondent

First Appellate Authority

O/o Superintending Engineer, Construction Circle, PWD B&R,
SCO-39-40, Sector 17-C, Chandigarh.

Appeal Case No.4101 of 2021

(Cisco Webex Proceedings)

Present: Appellant : Sh. Nitin Garg

Respondent: Sh. Rajinder Singh (supt.) 9876593300

ORDER (Second Hearing):

1. This order may be read with the reference of previous order of the Commission dated 15.03.2022.

2. INFORMATION SOUGHT:

Point (a) Providing certified copies of enlistments of Preet Construction co. Kurali (SASS Nagar)

Point (b) Providing certified copy of bank solvency certificate attached with application.

Point (c) Providing certified copy of demand drafts submitted by applicant as fees for enlistment with no and date along with name of bank.

Point (d) Providing certified copy of affidavit for engaging technical staff as per application.

Point (e) Providing other documents attached with application e.g. VAT/ESI other required.

Point (f) Providing certified copy of from 26-A as per application.

Point (g) Providing certified copy of verification report along with name of officer which verified.

Point (h) Providing certified copy of turn over certificate attached with application

Point (i) Providing certified copies of work executed certificate attached along with application.

Appeal Case No.4101 of 2021
(Cisco Webex Proceedings)

3. Respondent, Sh. Rajinder Singh pleaded the sought information can not be provided as it is a third party information. The PIO has sought consent from the concerned third party in terms of Section 11 of RTI Act as it amounts to his information. But he conveyed that, as per Section 11 of RTI Act 2005, respondent should not provide any information to the appellant.
4. The disclosure of such information is not in the public interest as the appellant has asked for the information for promotion of his personal interest. Therefore, the PIO is justified in denying the information sought, u/s 8(1)(j) of the Act.

Accordingly, the case is closed.

Chandigarh
Dated: 20.06.2022

Sd/-
(Maninder Singh Patti)
State Information Commissioner, Pb.



Sh. Sanjeev Thakur, (9814289764)

Village Gudha Khurd,
P.O Modhopur Cant-145024.

.....Appellant/Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o EO, Improvement Trust, Batala,
Distt Gurdaspur.

.....Respondent

First Appellate Authority

O/o Deputy Director, Local Bodies,
Amritsar.

Appeal Case No.3976 of 2021

(Cisco Webex Proceedings)

Present: Appellant : Absent

Respondent: Ms. Harpreet Kaur (Accountant) 8725800168

ORDER (Second Hearing):

1. This order may be read with the reference of previous order of the Commission dated 15.03.2022.
2. **Written Submission from respondent:** A letter dated 17.06.2022 vide diary no. 13174 is received by the undersigned bench the relevant portion of which is reproduced below:
“ਉਪਰੋਕਤ ਵਿਸ਼ਾ ਤੇ ਹਵਾਲਾ ਅਧੀਨ ਆਪ ਜੀ ਦੇ ਧਿਆਨ ਵਿੱਚ ਲਿਆਂਦਾ ਜਾਂਦਾ ਹੈ ਕਿ ਮਾਨਯੋਗ ਪੰਜਾਬ ਸਟੇਟ ਇੰਫਰਮੇਸ਼ਨ ਕਮਿਸ਼ਨਰ ਜੀ ਵਲੋਂ ਇਸ ਅਧੀਨ ਕੇਸ ਵਿਚ ਹੋਈ ਮੀਟਿੰਗ ਮਿਤੀ 15 03 2022 ਰਾਹੀਂ ਬਿਨੈਕਾਰ ਨੂੰ ਰਿਕਾਰਡ ਜਿਆਦਾ ਹੋਣ ਕਰਕੇ ਦਫਤਰ ਤੋਂ ਚੈਕ ਕਰਨ ਉਪਰੰਤ ਪ੍ਰਾਪਤ ਕਰਨ ਲਈ ਕਿਹਾ ਗਿਆ ਸੀ। ਜਿਸ ਦੇ ਸਬੰਧ ਵਿਚ ਆਪ ਨੂੰ ਹਵਾਲਾ ਅਧੀਨ ਪੱਤਰਾਂ ਰਾਹੀਂ ਦਫਤਰ ਵਿਖੇ ਕਿਸੇ ਵੀ ਕੰਮ ਕਾਜ ਵਾਲੇ ਦਿਨ ਰਿਕਾਰਡ ਚੈਕ ਕਰਕੇ ਮੰਗੀ ਗਈ ਸੂਚਨਾ ਪ੍ਰਾਪਤ ਕਰਨ ਲਈ ਲਿਖਿਆ ਸੀ। ਪਰ ਆਪ ਵੱਲੋਂ ਅਜੇ ਤੱਕ ਇਸ ਦਫਤਰ ਵਿਖੇ ਰਿਕਾਰਡ ਲੈਣ ਲਈ ਨਹੀਂ ਆਏ। ਆਪ ਨੂੰ ਦੁਬਾਰਾ ਲਿਖਿਆ ਜਾਂਦਾ ਹੈ ਕਿ ਕਿਸੇ ਵੀ ਕੰਮ ਕਾਜ ਵਾਲੇ ਦਿਨ ਦਫਤਰੀ ਸਮੇਂ ਦੌਰਾਨ ਦਫਤਰ ਨਗਰ ਸੁਧਾਰ ਟਰੱਸਟ, ਬਟਾਲਾ ਵਿਖੇ ਰਿਕਾਰਡ ਚੈਕ ਕਰਨ ਉਪਰੰਤ ਮੰਗੀ ਗਈ ਸੂਚਨਾ ਪ੍ਰਾਪਤ ਕਰ ਲਈ ਜਾਵੇ ਜੀ”
3. Appellant is absent without any intimation to the Commission.
1. Keeping in view the facts of the case and submission made by the respondent authority the commission transpires that Such behavior of the appellant, makes the Commission to think twice regarding the intent of the Appellant as to whether he genuinely wants information. It is discouraging to note that on account of such worthless proceedings initiated before the Courts, innumerable days are wasted, which time otherwise could have been spent for the disposal of cases of the genuine litigants.

Appeal Case No.3976 of 2021
(Cisco Webex Proceedings)

Many genuine applicants are all standing in a long serpentine queue for years with the fond hope of getting into the Courts and having their grievances redressed, the busybodies, meddlesome interlopers, wayfarers or officious interveners having absolutely no public interest except for personal gain or private profit either of themselves or as proxy of others or for any other extraneous motivation or for glare of publicity break the queue muffing their faces by wearing the mask of public interest litigation and get into the Courts by filing vexatious and frivolous petitions and thus **waste the valuable time of the Courts**, as a result of which the queue standing outside the doors of the Courts never moves, which piquant situation creates frustration in the minds of the genuine litigants and resultantly they lose faith in the administration of our judicial system.

With above observations this instant appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.

Sd/-

Chandigarh
Dated: 20.06.2022

(Maninder Singh Patti)
State Information Commissioner, Pb.



Sh. Varunesh Kumra , (9814811917)

94 A, Aggar Nagar,
Ludhiana - 141012

.....Appellant/Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o XEN, Provincial Division , PWD B&R,
Ludhiana.

.....Respondent

First Appellate Authority

O/o XEN, Provincial Division , PWD B&R,
Ludhiana.

Appeal Case No.4515 of 2021
(Cisco Webex Proceedings)

RTI application filed on	:	25-05-2021
PIO replied on	:	20-09-2021
First appeal filed on	:	15-07-2021
First Appellate Authority order	:	-

Present: Appellant: Sh. Varunesh Kumra
Respondent: Sh. Amit Saini (SDE), 7508472505

ORDER (Second Hearing):

1. This order may be read with the reference of previous order of the Commission dated 21.03.2022.
2. Appellant, Sh. Varunesh Kumra stated that partial information has been supplied so far by the respondent authority in this case. On this the respondent Sh. Amit saini stated that the complete information has been supplied from the O/o XEN,PWD Ludhiana and no any other information pertaining to this case is pertaining in the office record.
The commission is in the receipt of an undertaking (via email dated 20.06.2022) from the respondent authority vide which the aforesaid statement of the respondent authority is mentioned.
3. Accordingly, Commission's view that the RTI application in this instance had been adequately addressed. There is no further cause for action and this appeal case is herewith CLOSED.

Sd/-

Chandigarh
Dated: 20.06.2022

(Maninder Singh Patti)
State Information Commissioner, Pb.



Sh. Puneet Singh, (6283270078)

S/o Sh. Anil Pathania,
R/o Village Lahri, 183, PO Rattangarh,
Tehsil & Distt Pathankot.

.....Appellant/Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o Deputy Commissioner,
Pathankot.

.....Respondent

First Appellate Authority

O/o Deputy Commissioner,
Pathankot.

Appeal Case No.4613 of 2021
(Cisco Webex Proceedings)

RTI application filed on	:	22-07-2021
PIO replied on	:	25-08-2021
First appeal filed on	:	26-08-2021
First Appellate Authority order	:	-

Present: Appellant: Sh. Puneet Singh
Respondent: Sh. Sanjeev (Suptd.), 9501444466

ORDER (Second Hearing):

1. This order may be read with the reference of previous order of this Commission dated 21.03.2022.
2. Appellant: Sh. Puneet Singh acknowledged the receiving of requisite information and he has sought compensation under Section 19(8) (b) of the RTI Act 2005 and also the penal action against the respondents under Section 20(1)& 20(2) of the RTI Act 2005.
3. In the view of above , a strict warning is issued to the PIO for not providing any reply to the appellant within the timeline prescribed under the Act. The PIOs are warned to be careful with the timeline and refrain from delaying replies. As the information stands supplied therefore, no cause of action is required in this case. Hence, the instant complaint/appeal case is **disposed & closed**

Sd/-

Chandigarh
Dated: 20.06.2022

(Maninder Singh Patti)
State Information Commissioner, Pb.